

Annual Integrity Report to the Council 2021-2022

Introduction

The mission of the University of Cambridge is to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence. The University recognises that the pursuit of excellent research and the fulfilment of our responsibilities to participants in research, research users and the wider community require the maintenance of the highest standards of integrity and ethics. As such the University supports and is committed to upholding the Universities UK *Concordat to Support Research Integrity*.

This statement has been made to the University's Council to demonstrate that measures are being taken to sustain and further enhance the integrity of the research undertaken at the University. It is in fulfilment of recommendations made by the *Concordat* for annual reporting on research integrity to the University's governing body and covers the academic year 2021-22. As recommended by the *Concordat* this statement will be made publically available online.

This annual report has been designed to be a stand-alone document that does not require readers to consult previous years' reports. New developments that have occurred during 2021-22 are addressed in part one, while structural aspects of the University's research integrity procedures and processes, as well as developments made in previous years that have continued to be important during 2021-22 are addressed in part two. Part three addresses the Concordat commitment to report on the handling of allegations of research misconduct.

Part one: Supporting and Strengthening Research Integrity during 2021-22.

The University is committed to continually improving the way in which it works to sustain and enhance the integrity of research undertaken at the University. During 2021-22 the University has continued its work to evolve its support for integrity in light of the launch of the revised Concordat to Support Research Integrity in October 2019.

Following the launch of the revised Concordat, the University Research Office undertook a review to ensure the University's continued compliance and presented an action plan to the Research Policy Committee in January 2020. The initial stages of this plan were reported in the 2019-20 and 2020-21 Annual Reports. During 2021-22 further steps have been taken:

- The Research Office has launched three online research integrity courses, developed in collaboration with Cambridge University Press to support PhD students and postdoctoral researchers in the University.
- The Research Integrity Advisory Panel continued to operate to ensure that students and staff could access advice on research integrity issues in a manner that allows expert advice to be provided quickly and without stigma.
- The Misconduct in Research policy has been revised and the revised version has been approved by the University's Research Policy Committee, HR Committee, General Board Education Committee and General Board. The revised policy will now be considered by the University's Council with the view to its implementation later in the academic year.

During 2021-22 a Research Integrity Joint University and Colleges Working Group was established and reported. The Working Group recommended a series of steps be taken to improve training and support for college staff in research integrity and research ethics. The Research Office intends to progress these recommendations during 2022-23, which will enable college staff to take greater advantage of the support available within the wider University.

Following the launch of the University's [Institutional Action Plan for Research Culture in 2020-21](#), the University is in the process of establishing an institutional Research Culture Committee to oversee its implementation and development. The ambitions of the project are to support an open, collaborative and transparent research culture and improve opportunities for professional development and training. The Research Governance Team in the Research Office work to maximise the synergies between the Research Culture Action Plan and support for research integrity at Cambridge.

The Research Governance Team are also working to support the University's DORA implementation working group and are represented on the Open Research Operation Committee to ensure that the Open Research Programme and its deliverables, such as improvements to data management training, are well integrated with the University's research integrity support.

During 2021-22 members of the Research Governance and Integrity Team have been active participants in conferences and workshops organised by the Russell Group and League of European Research Universities (LERU). The University was also one of the founding member of the new Higher Education Export Control Association.

Part two: The Governance of Research Integrity at the University of Cambridge

The University of Cambridge recognises that supporting and strengthening the understanding and application of research integrity issues requires clear senior leadership. To ensure that research integrity is governed at a high level within the University, the Pro-Vice-Chancellor for Research and International Partnerships (PVC-RI) was appointed as the senior academic lead on research integrity matters within the University in October 2013. The PVC-RI is responsible for providing academic leadership on research integrity and acts as the first point of contact for anyone with comments, concerns or questions regarding research integrity at Cambridge, fulfilling a recommendation under Commitment 3 of the *Concordat*. The PVC-RI may be contacted through the Research Strategy Office using the researchintegrity@admin.cam.ac.uk email address.

The PVC-RI is supported by the University's Research Strategy Office (RSO). The RSO's responsibilities in this area are managed by the Research Governance (RG) Team. Research Integrity matters are primarily overseen by the Research Governance and Integrity Officer (RGIO) and Research Governance Facilitator (RGF) with additional support where needed being provided by the Senior Research Policy and Compliance Coordinator, Research Strategy Analyst and Export Control Facilitator. The RG Team supports the PVC-RI, Head of the Research Office and University Research Ethics Committee (UREC) to oversee the University's research ethics and governance systems, foster a culture of research integrity across the institution and support the implementation of the University's

Misconduct in Research policy. They are tasked with ensuring that actions are taken to embed the commitments of the *Concordat* into the University's research environment and ensuring that systems, practices and processes across the University are periodically reviewed so that they remain fit for purpose and reflect best practice in research integrity. The RG Team also provide researchers and staff with a clear point of contact for advice, support and guidance on research integrity, research ethics, legal requirements, and professional obligations and standards. The Clinical School's Research Governance Team provides similar support and offers particular expertise on the NHS research ethics approval process. The University Biomedical Support Services (UBSS) and Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body (AWERB) provide support and guidance on animal welfare issues.

Additional support and guidance on specific issues can be found throughout the central administrative offices, including the Research Office, University Biomedical Support Services, Safety Office, Human Resources, the Information Compliance Office, Office of Scholarly Communications, and the Legal Services Division.

The UREC has also been given responsibility to 'advise the Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Research) on the development and implementation of policies and procedures relating to research integrity', particularly in relation to Commitment 2 of the *Concordat*. All changes to research integrity policies and procedures are commented on by the UREC before decisions are made by the University's central committees. To facilitate a joined up approach to research governance, the PVC-RI and the UREC receive reports on research integrity matters from the RSO.

The UREC has responsibility for the co-ordination of the continual development and dissemination of the University's research ethics policies (excluding animal research) and has oversight of local and School-level Research Ethics Committees (RECs). Ethical review is primarily provided by local and School-level RECs. The UREC provides review to projects that are beyond the expertise of School-level RECs and hears appeals challenging the decisions of local and School level RECs. The University's RECs report annually to the UREC so that potential concerns can be identified and addressed. The UREC undertakes continuous review and monitoring of the University's ethics system to ensure that it meets best practice standards and provides a streamlined and effective service for researchers. The UREC is also responsible for monitoring the implementation of the University's ethics policies, which is carried out primarily through their monitoring of local and School ethics committees and surveys of and consultations with departments. The UREC provides the University's RECs with regular advice and guidance. The UREC reports annually to the General Board.

The PVC-RI is Chair of the RPC. The RPC receives minutes of the UREC and major issues or new policies relating to research integrity are referred to the RPC for consideration and approval. The RPC also refers research integrity matters to the General Board where necessary.

The University also has management procedures to ensure that consideration of the 3Rs (the Replacement, Refinement and Reduction of Animals in Research) are embedded into all aspects of our strategic operation management and a well-developed governance system for animal welfare. The [AWERB](#) has regular meetings with the University's four Named Veterinary Surgeons and Named Animal Care and Welfare Officers and actively/directly

oversees the management of governance. University policies developed by the AWERB are implemented in all research facilities.

In any work involving animals of protected species, the policy of the University of Cambridge is to adhere to high standards of humane care and treatment of those animals. Research and teaching activities at the University involving animals considered to be sentient are governed by a range of legislation, including the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 and, in the case of teaching to veterinary students, the Veterinary Surgeons Act 1966. Compliance of research involving these species is monitored by University staff, including the Named Veterinary Surgeons, and by the Home Office through its inspectors. All members of the University carrying out procedures regulated under the Act must by law have prior training, relevant experience, and authority from the Home Office. All projects affecting such animals are subject to prior formal ethical review within the University. Further details on animal welfare at the University are available [on the University website](#).

Heads of Department and Faculty are responsible for research integrity and ethics matters in their institution, including the implementation of the University's research ethics and integrity policies and procedures at a local level and taking initial responsibility for actions under the *Misconduct in Research* policy.

Policies and procedures for supporting research integrity

Under Commitments 2 and 3 of the *Concordat*, the University is required to have clear policies and procedures to support research integrity.

The University's commitment to upholding the principles of the *Concordat* is set out in its [Statement on Research Integrity](#), which is publicly available on the University's Research Integrity website. The Statement explains the ways in which the University will support researchers to maintain the highest standards of integrity in research and publicly highlights the role of the PVC-RI as the first point of contact for anyone with comments, concerns or questions regarding research integrity at Cambridge.

The *Statement on Research Integrity* has been designed to complement existing University policies and guidelines for supporting the highest standards in research. The University's *Guidelines on Good Research Practice* sets out principles of good conduct that all those engaged in research at the University are expected to follow. They cover a range of issues including openness, supervision, training, intellectual property, the use of data and equipment, the publication of research results, and ethical practice. The *Guidelines* provide a more comprehensive introduction to good research practice at Cambridge than the *Statement on Research Integrity* and highlight links to further guidance on key issues.

The *Guidelines* have also been developed into a *Research Integrity and Good Research Practice Checklist*, which is designed to help supervisors to provide research students with an introduction to issues of research integrity and encourage broader dialogue about good research practice. The *Checklist* is available as a [webpage](#) and downloadable document.

The University's approach to the governance of the ethics of research and the ethical review process are set out in the *Policy on the Ethics of Research Involving Human Participants and Personal Data*. This policy provides guidance on the University's expectations of ethical practice in research, setting out guiding principles by which all research activities undertaken

by University employees, or on University premises, must abide. It also offers clear guidance for those seeking and those undertaking ethical review of a project and the governance arrangements for the University's ethical review process. Heads of Department and Chairs of Faculty Boards are responsible for implementing the policy at a local level and individual researchers and supervisors of research students are expected to familiarise themselves with their responsibilities.

The University also recognises that its position globally as a centre of research excellence comes with the associated responsibility of ensuring that all research with animals is undertaken with a priority in achieving the highest welfare standards. This underpins *the University Animal Welfare Policy*.

The policies listed above, together with other policies relevant to research integrity, are freely available online. As required by the *Concordat*, the University's policies are subject to periodic review to ensure that they remain 'fit for purpose'. Up to date links to these policies will be published as part of each Annual Research Integrity Report:

- [Statement on Research Integrity](#);
- [Guidelines on Good Research Practice](#);
- [Policy on the Ethics of Research involving Human Participants and Personal Data](#);
- [Animal welfare policies](#);
- [University Financial Regulations](#);
- ['Whistleblowing' Policy](#);
- [Policy Against Bribery and Corruption](#);
- [Children and Vulnerable Adults Safeguarding Policy](#);
- [Research Data Management Policy Framework](#); and
- [Conflict of Interest Policy](#).

Advice and guidance

Expert support and guidance on research integrity is offered throughout the University. Centrally the RGIO, RGF and the Clinical School's Research Governance Team support researchers to understand and meet expected standards of research ethics and integrity, as well as legal, professional, regulatory and funder obligations. Additional support and guidance on specific issues can be found throughout the central administrative offices, including the Research Office, University Biomedical Support Services, Safety Office, Human Resources, Information Compliance Office, Office of Scholarly Communications, and the Legal Services Division. Department and Faculty research ethics committees provide an additional source of support for researchers. Guidance can also be sought from School-level research ethics committees and the UREC.

The dissemination of research ethics and integrity policies and procedures has been identified as a key focus for strengthening the understanding and application of research integrity issues at Cambridge. Central to the University's awareness raising efforts is the maintenance of the [University research integrity website](#). The website provides guidance on research ethics and research integrity, including the University's ethical review process for research. The site also provides links to the University *Misconduct in Research* policy and information on research ethics and research integrity training.

The University's *Statement on Research Integrity* has also been developed as a means of building awareness of research integrity. The *Statement* has been designed to act as a brief introduction to research integrity for new staff at Cambridge. It sets out the standards by which the University expects all its researchers, research students and visiting researchers to abide and provides links to other University policies that relate to research integrity. The *Statement* has been made into a leaflet, which is [available online](#) so that it can be integrated into departmental and faculty training and induction. The leaflet is provided by the RGIO and RGF at central inductions for new postdoctoral staff and as part of centrally run training.

The research integrity website is also designed to act as a hub for anyone seeking information and guidance on research integrity issues at Cambridge; as such it provides links to guidance offered by Schools, departments, local research ethics committees and relevant administrative offices. Of particular importance is the University's guidance on animal welfare issues, which is provided on the [University's Animal Research webpages](#) and by the [UBSS](#). Online guidance on clinical research governance is provided on the [Clinical School website](#).

Good data management practice is crucial for both the verification of research findings and to maintain the integrity of the research. In addition, [funders require](#) that research data is properly managed during the research lifecycle and is made available at the end of research project/at time of publication. One of the key roles of the Research Data Management (RDM) Facility at the University of Cambridge is to create support services for research data management and sharing at Cambridge.

To achieve this, the RDM Facility provides researchers with six core services: data repository, research data website and helpdesk, DMP support service, consultancy on data management, training, and Data Champion programme. Additional responsibilities centre on advocacy and outreach, policy development and discussions with funders.

The RDM Facility work with Faculties and Departments to provided tailored RDM support through a variety of initiatives, including data management planning pilot schemes for PhD students, discipline-specific training and the Data Champion programme. The Data Champion programme is a network of volunteers from the University who promote good RDM practices in their local departments and membership of it has doubled in the last year. As of July this year, there are 202 Data Champions distributed across all six Schools as well as in some Non-School Institutions. The success in our work in encouraging researchers to share their data to make their work more transparent and reproducible is evident in the use of the University repository, Apollo. It contains nearly 4,000 datasets, and in 2021 datasets were downloaded over 52,000 times by users from across the globe (this figure is substantially higher than dataset downloads from any other UK higher education institutional repository).

The research community benefits from good research data management practice as a result of increased research efficiency and integrity within research projects or programmes, increased recognition for data that are shared through the ability to cite datasets that have allocated persistent identifiers (e.g. DOIs), and the ability to use other datasets made available. The University benefits from being recognised as a world leader in the development of research data management practices. The community benefits through a more cost effective use of research funds because work does not need to be duplicated.

Training, mentoring and events

Training has also been identified as a priority for the University's work to support research integrity. Face-to-face research integrity training has been available centrally since 2014 (x2 sessions per term). The course is open to all research staff and research students and aims to familiarise attendees with the University's policies and procedures for research integrity, ethics and misconduct. The course also uses case studies and discussion to explore key research integrity issues. During 2021-22 this training has been offered through video conference.

The RGIO and RGF also offer bespoke training for departments, faculties and Schools where this is requested. During 2021-22, the following training was provided:

- Research integrity training for PhD students in the School of Clinical Medicine, School of the Biological Sciences Doctoral Training Programme, Faculty of Divinity, Department of Chemistry, Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, MRC Mitochondrial Biology Unit, Centre for the Study of Existential Risk and CRUK Cambridge Centre.
- Research integrity training for MPhil students in Developmental Biology.
- Research integrity training for postdoctoral researchers delivered through the University's Postdoc Academy.
- Introductory integrity training for new PIs as part of the Research Office's PI Development Programme.
- Research integrity training for administrators that was delivered as part of the Research Office's training course for departmental administrators.
- Responsible Research and Innovation Training for PhD students on the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) Centre for Doctoral Training (CDT).

Centrally the University also provides online or face-to-face training in areas such as good research practice, working with human subjects, data protection and health and safety. Training is provided by the UBSS for those seeking Home Office Licences. Externally provided online training is promoted through the research integrity website and the Clinical School's Research Governance website. The newly launched online research integrity training courses discussed above have added to this provision in 2021-22.

Since 2015 departments and faculties have been expected to integrate the *Concordat* and all relevant University statements and policies, including the *Misconduct in Research* policy, into faculty or department inductions for new academic staff and PhD students. In addition to this, many of the University's departments and Schools offer subject-specific training in research integrity issues. The approach taken to this varies locally: it includes training that has been integrated into Departmental research skills courses; stand-alone lectures or workshops; School-level support, such as research ethics training offered by the Social Sciences' Research Methods Centre; and courses arranged with external providers.

Induction events for postdoctoral staff are run by the University's Postdoc Academy. This year, the Postdoc Academy held online inductions that included a pre-recorded video that provided a brief introduction to research integrity and research ethics and encouraged attendees to get in touch with any questions.

Mentoring plays a key role in building awareness and understanding of policies and procedures at Cambridge. The University formally requires that all its institutions make arrangements for the mentoring of newly appointed staff and runs schemes for peer and developmental mentoring.

The University is committed to the continuing and ongoing improvement of its processes for supporting and strengthening the understanding of research integrity at Cambridge and future efforts will be reported on in subsequent annual reports.

Part Three: Addressing research misconduct

As required under Commitment 4 of the *Concordat*, the University has a *Misconduct in Research* policy and procedure that sets out a transparent, robust, confidential and fair process for dealing with allegations of research misconduct. The policy provides a clear definition of research misconduct and the responsibility of members of the University to report incidents of misconduct, whether these have been witnessed or are suspected.

The investigation procedure sets out a thorough process through which allegations are investigated by individuals with appropriate authority, qualifications and experience and no conflict of interest in the case. The procedure is clearly linked to disciplinary procedures contained within the University's Statutes and Ordinances to ensure that appropriate action can be taken when concerns are upheld. The procedure also ensures that allegations are investigated in an appropriately confidential manner.

The University is committed to ensuring that it meets all obligations to provide information on investigations of research misconduct to funders of research and professional and/or statutory bodies as required by conditions of grant and other legal, professional and statutory obligations, and will support researchers to do the same.

The *Misconduct in Research Policy*, including details of the relevant contacts and procedures, is available on the [University HR website](#). It is also highlighted on the [University research integrity website](#), as part of research integrity training, within the *Good Research Practice Guidelines* and accompanying checklist, and in the research integrity leaflet.

A research misconduct guidance card is provided to new Heads of Departments to assist with the preliminary steps involved in managing a misconduct allegation. The resource is intended to be used in conjunction with the [Misconduct in Research Policy](#) and provides increased support at the earlier stages of the process.

Individuals seeking advice on the University's misconduct procedure are able to contact the PVC-RI, the Academic Secretary, the RGIO, their Head of Institution and/or the relevant HR Advisor for the institution concerned.

The policy is appropriate to the needs of the University. To ensure that this continues to be the case, a revised policy is currently being considered by the University's central committees.

The University recognises that it can be difficult for staff and students to report instances of research misconduct. The University's long-standing [Whistleblowing Policy](#) is designed to provide protections for those raising such concerns. In addition, the RGIO offers confidential advice for those considering raising concerns. The University has also now launched its new

Research Integrity Advisory Panel. The Panel members will provide confidential (in this case discipline-specific) advice for those with questions regarding research integrity or considering raising concerns.

The University's *Misconduct in Research* policy sets out a three stage process for the handling of allegations. All allegations are subject to an initial review by the relevant Head of Institution to decide whether they should be investigated under the policy. All allegations judged to require investigation under the policy are initially considered through a preliminary investigation and will progress to a formal investigation if a *prima facie* case that requires further investigation is identified at the preliminary stage. The *Concordat* requires that the University provide a high-level report on any formal investigations of research misconduct that have been undertaken during each academic year and to include it in this public statement. In the interests of transparency, the University has decided to also report on any preliminary investigations of research misconduct undertaken. The table below provides this report for the 2021-22 academic year.

Table 1: Investigations carried out under the University of Cambridge Misconduct in Research policy, 2021-22.

Type of allegation	Stage reached	Investigation result
Plagiarism	Formal Investigation	Ongoing (reported as ongoing in 2020-21)
Fabrication	Formal Investigation	Upheld (reported as ongoing in 2020-21)
Deviation from accepted practice	Formal Investigation	Ongoing (reported as ongoing in 2020-21)
Plagiarism	Formal Investigation	Ongoing (reported as ongoing in 2020-21)
Plagiarism	Preliminary Investigation	Not upheld (reported as ongoing in 2020-21)
Plagiarism	Preliminary Investigation	Not upheld (reported as ongoing in 2020-21)
Falsification	Formal Investigation	Ongoing (reported as ongoing in 2020-21)
Plagiarism	Preliminary Investigation	Not upheld
Plagiarism	Preliminary Investigation	Ongoing

In 2019-20 the Research Office began a process of annual reports to the Research Policy Committee on lessons that have been learnt from research misconduct investigations. These reports also make recommendations for actions to be taken to address the issues identified. The 2021-22 report included one such recommendation:

- That guidance be developed for Departments on when it is appropriate to handle concerns relating to research practice informally and how to do so.

The Research Office has agreed to implement this recommendation during 2022-23.

External engagement

The *Concordat* recognises that a key part of successfully supporting a culture of research integrity in universities is for institutions to learn from each other and disseminate good

practice. The sector has responded to this impetus and Cambridge has actively engaged with the resulting collaboration and sharing of good practice.

The University is a subscriber to the UK Research Integrity Office (UKRIO). UKRIO is an independent charity, funded by subscriptions, that seeks to support researchers and research organisations in relation to matters of research integrity, research ethics and research misconduct. Joining UKRIO has given the University access to additional training assistance from UKRIO, UKRIO guidance documents and assistance, a register of UKRIO advisors for misconduct investigations, and assistance in developing and enhancing our guidelines, procedures and training. In addition, by subscribing, the University is supporting the UKRIO's advice service, which is available to anyone in need of assistance of advice with issues relating to research integrity. The University's membership of UKRIO is advertised on the [research integrity website](#).

The RGIO and RGF are also members of the Russell Group's Research Integrity Forum, which has been established to ensure that good practice is shared and to support shared efforts to foster a research environment that nurtures research integrity. The importance of this group as a space for open discussion and shared learning was highlighted by UUK in their 2016 review of the Concordat.¹ Through involvement in this group, the RGIO has also taken a leading role in Russell Group involvement in the UUK Research Integrity Forum. The RGIO is also a member of the League of European Research University's Research Integrity Thematic Group.

¹ Universities UK, 'The Concordat to Support Research Integrity: A Progress Report' (November, 2016), p. 26. Available at: <http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/policy-and-analysis/reports/Documents/2016/concordat-research-integrity-progress-report.pdf> [Accessed 18.09.17]